# Input / Output

CS 347 Maneesh Agrawala



Announcements You hopefully submitted your first commentaries Due at 5pm night before lecture Sections start next Wed Apr 10 Email <u>cs347@cs.stanford.edu</u> with questions or requests

- You will receive section and discussant assignments by early next week.



## Last time

**Ubiquitous computing:** a vision in which computers "vanish into the background" rather than focus our attention on a single box

**Tangible computing:** a subset of ubiquitous computing in which all data, interaction, and representation are encoded physically

**Dourish [2004]:** "Tangible computing is of interest precisely because it is not purely physical. It is a physical realization of a symbolic reality."



# Ubiquitous computing requires that we provide nontraditional levers for interaction

What ought those look like? How ought they to work? And why?

Today: two big ideas I. Input sensing architectures 2. Output approaches, and their tradeoffs Displays, vs. Augmented Reality, vs. Virtual Reality



## ...And one course theme

As an interdisciplinary field, HCl bridges perspectives and methods from multiple fields

Today, we will see one example of how an idea iterates through and across these perspectives

Design



Engineering

**Psych/Social** Science





## Input and sensing



### Bolt. "Put-that-there": voice and gesture at the graphics interface. SIGGRAPH '80.



Put That There Contribution: combined gesture and voice input In a closed world With a toy goal Using simple manipulation operations Using a laser attached to the wrist In many ways, our goal since 1980 has been to relax those assumptions





### Wellner. Interacting with paper on the DigitalDesk. CACM '93.

Digita Desk Contribution: fluid boundaries between digital and physical objects In a constrained space On a small set of tasks With predefined behaviors Again, we work to relax these assumptions



# Today, suppose you wanted to...

## On-body input [Harrison et al. 2010]

Appropriate our own skin as a widelyavailable input surface



## Environmental audio input [Laput et al. 2018]



Detect activities in the local environment and adapt

Evolved into Apple's handwashing feature:



4

# [Lien et al. 2016]



# Fine-grained gesture input

Provide expressive inputs beyond the limits of the display screen



15

# [Lien et al. 2016]



# Fine-grained gesture input

Provide expressive inputs beyond the limits of the display screen



6

# [Lien et al. 2016]







# Fine-grained gesture input



Now integrated into a number of Google products





## Muscle input [Saponas et al. 2009]



Recognize hand gestures with on-body instrumentation ...and be an air guitar hero





Or many others... Activity detection on the phone or watch [Consolvo et al. 2008] [Cohn et al 2012] Recognize exercises in a gym [Khurana et al. 2018]

- Hand gesture detection with an instrumented glove [Glauser 2019] Detect body pose or posture without instrumentation or cameras



## How would you do it?

# Step I: Sensor input [Harrison et al. 2010]

şim-

www

.....

-----

line .

-----

-

----

-

-----

die .....

gitterenen -----

SAMSUNG



Example: a series of highly tuned vibration sensors, each tuned to different resonant frequencies





# Step I: Sensor input [Laput et al. 2018]





mobile\_ring\_speed\_dial02.wav



- minaturalis -

addinal accession to the second

a ka<sup>nan</sup>at <sup>kan</sup>asarkana an Mel<sub>k</sub>apak persenti aterak



Example: standard laptop, phone, or watch microphone





# Step I: Sensor input [Lien et al. 2016]



Example: radar (radio wave reflections) scatters a wide beam and captures responses from many different parts of your hand as the waves reflect off ofit



# Step I: Sensor input [Saponas et al. 2009]



Example: attach EMG sensors in a band around your arm: forearm electromyography



Step I: Sensor input Audio Video Accelerometers Vibration sensors EM waves of many flavors (from radio to infrared to wifi) Environmental EM waves Anything else you can think of?



## Step 2: Featurization Transform the sensor input into a form that is maximally informative for a machine learning algorithm. The exact transformation depends on the sensor and application.



[Laput et al. 2018]

Example: audio feature engineering on recorded sound clips: Fourier transforms fed into spectrograms





# Step 2: Featurization

Transform the sensor input into a form that is maximally informative for a machine learning algorithm. The exact transformation depends on the sensor and application.



Example: treat vibration data as audio data and derive similar features (FFTs, average amplitude of each sensor, amplitude ratios between pairs of sensors)

### [Harrison et al. 2010]



27

# Step 2: Featurization

Transform the sensor input into a form that is maximally informative for a machine learning algorithm. The exact transformation depends on the sensor and application.



[Lien et al. 2016]

Example: locations of RF scattering centers detected, temporal transformations of scatter center motion



# Step 2: Featurization

Transform the sensor input into a form that is maximally informative for a machine learning algorithm. The exact transformation depends on the sensor and application.





[Saponas et al. 2009]

Example: split the EMG signal into short segments and treat each one as a sample, calculate root mean square (RMS) ratios between channels, frequency energy, phase coherence ratios between channels



## Step 3: Train a classifier Option I: Impress your friends and maximize performance, use a deep learning architecture

"

the bidirectional LSTM classifier to produce the best accuracy owing to the superiority of Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) like LSTMs and Bi-LSTMs in modeling long-range temporal dependencies and. Moreover, since

[Bhalla, Goel, and Khurana 2021]





## Step 3: Train a classifier Option 2: Operate under power or speed constraints—like you're launching a mobile product—and use something simple that works

There are a number of powerful classification algorithms that can be used for temporal gesture recognition, such as

"

These algorithms are computationally expensive and are not suited for real time operation on low-power embedded platforms at high frame rates and small memory footprint. By benchmarking and comparing various algorithms we converged on a Random Forest classifier.

### [Lien et al. 2016]

"

## Architecture overview

(I) Collect raw sensor data

(2) Featurize the raw sensor data

(3) Train a classifier

**EM-Sense** Smartwatch







Challenge: Midas touch Unlike traditional interfaces, sensing-based interfaces do not ask for explicit intent As a result, they can trigger as false positives: activate when you don't want them. (Consider the woes of your friends who are named Alexa) This is known as the Midas touch problem. What to do?





# Displays: a limiting factor for ubicomp

How can a <u>ubi</u>quitous <u>comp</u>uting (ubicomp) system communicate back to people?

What if the person is nowhere near a display, and the information is situated out in the world?



Three approaches There is no single solution here. Instead, we have developed a series of different explorations and technologies. (I) Exotic displays (2) Augmented reality (3) Virtual reality



## (1) Exotic displays Goal: develop ubiquitous computing technologies that extend the availability and affordances of existing displays



## On-body displays [Mueller et al. 2020] Worn displays [Lo et al. 2016]

### Skintillates Designing and Creating Epidermal Interactions

### Thin, electro-tacticle feedback ( $35\mu m$ thick) [Withana et al. 2018]



### Embedded in textiles [Daguin 2021]





# Embedded in objects and the environment



Example: 3D printed display components [Willis et al. 2012]



# Embedded in objects and the environment



### Example: mobile swarm robotics [Le Goc et al. 2016]



## Embedded in objects and the environment





## Example: drones [Yamada et al. 2017]



# Embedded in objects and the environment



Example: drones [Yamada et al. 2017] (Though a remaining issue...)



## (2) Augmented reality AR: lay virtual information out into the physical world These technologies often must sense the layout of the space around them, then project the digital information into that space





[Image from NYT]



## **Projector-based AR** Mount projectors into the space to add digital augmentation



Shoulder-mounted projector turns surfaces into interfaces

[Harrison, Benko, and Wilson 2011]



## **Projector-based AR** Mount projectors into the space to add digital augmentation



[Wilson et al. 2012]



## Head-mounted displays (Technically, much use of the HoloLens is mixed reality, where the physical world and the digital world can interact with each other.)





## Head-mounted displays Enabling digital proxies of remote objects or participants [Orts-Escolano et al. 2016]





## (3) Virtual reality VR: Head-worn display that occludes the surrounding world and instead embeds you in a fully digital world

"Such a display could literally be the Wonderland into which Alice walked" [Sutherland 1965]



## Psychological impacts of VR High levels of embodiment mean that our virtual selfrepresentations impact our behaviors [Yee and Bailensen 2007]





## **Fradeoffs**

Exotic displays: require specialized hardware and devices, so difficult to generalize and power, but very flexible design space AR: can extend the local environment with new behaviors and objects, but seams can show between the physical and digital VR: fully immersive, but completely removes you from the physical environment, and the illusion breaks when you run into your couch There is no perfect approach—select the modality that matches your needs.



# Interdisciplinary perspectives in HCI

# HCI interdisciplinarity

So far, we've mostly discussed **engineering**style contributions to HCI. These involve envisioning and creating new technological approaches to human-computer interaction.

But, as we proceed through the course, we will encounter contributions that come from several other perspectives, including:

Psychology & Social sciences

Design

Theory

Design

Theory

Engineering

Psych/Social Science



HCl interdisciplinarity Before today: "HCI is design process-iterated product" After today An algorithm paper can be HCI A design paper can be HCI A qualitative paper can be HCI A critical theory paper can be HCI An EE/ME paper can be HCI A field experiment can be HCI

. . .

Design

Theory

Engineering

**Psych/Social Science** 



## HCl interdisciplinarity HCl succeeds by bringing together these perspectives.

Take each paper you read on its own disciplinary terms: e.g., what is expected of an evaluation of an engineering contribution is different than what is expected of a study in a social science contribution.

You will likely encounter ideas pursued from several of these perspectives simultaneously across papers. Let's take an example in today's context...



## Ways of Knowing in HCI





## Can't Touch This [Hammer 1990]

A perennial problem in AR and VR is haptic feedback: the digital environment looks realistic, but it has no physical substance, so you cannot actually touch it.

A traditional approach is to create active or passive haptic feedback:





## Can't Touch This [Hammer 1990]

cannot actually touch it.



[Tao et al. 21]

our wearable alters perceived softness of rigid objects while keeping most of the fingerpad free

### [Choi et al. 2017]

### A perennial problem in AR and VR is haptic feedback: the digital environment looks realistic, but it has no physical substance, so you

### A traditional approach is to create active or passive haptic feedback:





Massie and Salisbury 1994]



# Haptic illusions

Could we instead convince our tactile systems that we are feeling things that aren't exactly physical reality?

Would this allow us to produce a wider variety of haptic sensations even with restricted hardware?

Design

Theory

Engineering

Psych/Social Science



# The Visual Dominance Effect [Rock and Victor 1964]

When touch conflicts with vision, our brains resolve the conflict in favor of vision

**Experiment:** place a shape on a table, and ask participants to view it and feel it from behind plastic that distorts how the shape looks. Then, ask people to draw what they think the shape actually is.

**Result:** people drew distorted shapes that matched what they saw and not what they felt, often without being aware of the conflict at all.

Psych/Social Science



58

## Haptic retargeting [Azmandian et al. 2016]



### Engineering

By warping the rendered version of your body or the world in VR, you pick up the same cube three times while thinking it's three different cubes









## Crafting the Impossible [Abtahi et al. 2022]

VR embodiments need not have any real-world equivalents Scale up the size of the user's arm Scale up the user's avatar when moving long distances Argument: rather than making reality-based VR, aim to create beyond-real interactions in order to improve the experience





60

# Or, just fake it. [Cheng et al. 2014]



Design

### Use people instead?



Summary Input sensing architectures: sensors, features, ML Output approaches, and their tradeoffs Displays, vs. Augmented Reality, vs. Virtual Reality

### HCI interdisciplinarity: ideas are pursued from multiple perspectives



A reminder on commentaries **Do:** engage with the core contributions — Step I: What is the point that this paper is trying to make? Step 2: How effectively does it convince you of that argument? How could the argument be even more persuasive, on its own terms? **Step 3**: What are the implications of the argument? What future frontier projects might be inspired by this work? What follow-up project would you work on?

Don't: nitpick low-level details, harp on already-acknowledged limitations / future work, bring expectations from other HCI paper genres ("needs a user study!"), spend too much time summarizing, levy judgment ('1 like this!") without digging into why or implications



## Refining the 3-steps **Do:** engage with the core contributions —

Step I (Reflection): State the main point but then reflect on why the ideas in the reading made sense from the authors' perspectives.

Step 2 (Synthesis): How does the idea relate to your experiences today. How effectively does it convince you of that argument? How could the argument be even more persuasive, on its own terms?

Step 3 (Future work): What are the implications of the argument? Given the ideas presented in the paper, what would you want to work on, or how would you modify those ideas?



## References

Azmandian, Mahdi, et al. "Haptic retargeting: Dynamic repurposing of passive haptics for enhanced virtual reality experiences." Proceedings of the 2016 chi conference on human factors in computing systems. 2016. Bhalla, Sejal, Mayank Goel, and Rushil Khurana. "IMU2Doppler: Cross-Modal Domain Adaptation for Doppler-based Activity Recognition Using IMU Data." Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies 5.4 (2021): 1-20. Bolt, Richard A. "''Put-that-there''Voice and gesture at the graphics interface." Proceedings of the 7th annual conference on Computer graphics and interactive techniques. 1980.

Cheng, Lung-Pan, et al. "Turkdeck: Physical virtual reality based on people." Proceedings of the 28th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software & Technology. 2015.

Choi, Inrak, et al. "Grabity: A wearable haptic interface for simulating weight and grasping in virtual reality." Proceedings of the 30th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. 2017.

Cohn, Gabe, et al. "Humantenna: using the body as an antenna for real-time whole-body interaction." Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. 2012.

Consolvo, Sunny, et al. "Activity sensing in the wild: a field trial of ubifit garden." Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on human factors in computing systems. 2008.

Daguin, Clara. Ambient Experiments. 2021

Dourish, Paul. Where the action is: the foundations of embodied interaction. MIT press, 2004. Glauser, Oliver, et al. "A stretch-sensing soft glove for interactive hand pose estimation." ACM SIGGRAPH 2019 Emerging Technologies. 2019. 1-2. Hammer, M.C. U Can't Touch This. 1990. Capitol Records.

Harrison, Chris, Desney Tan, and Dan Morris. "Skinput: appropriating the body as an input surface." Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on human factors in computing systems. 2010.



## References

Harrison, Chris, Hrvoje Benko, and Andrew D. Wilson. "OmniTouch: wearable multitouch interaction everywhere." Proceedings of the 24th annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology. 2011

Laput, Gierad, et al. "Ubicoustics: Plug-and-play acoustic activity recognition." Proceedings of the 31st Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. 2018.

Le Goc, Mathieu, et al. "Zooids: Building blocks for swarm user interfaces." Proceedings of the 29th annual symposium on user interface software and technology. 2016

Lien, Jaime, et al. "Soli: Ubiquitous gesture sensing with millimeter wave radar." ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG) 35.4 (2016): 1-19. Lo, Joanne, et al. "Skintillates: Designing and creating epidermal interactions." Proceedings of the 2016 ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems. 2016.

Massie, Thomas H., and J. Kenneth Salisbury. "The phantom haptic interface: A device for probing virtual objects." Proceedings of the ASME winter annual meeting, symposium on haptic interfaces for virtual environment and teleoperator systems. Vol. 55. No. 1. 1994. Mueller, Florian Floyd, et al. "Next steps for human-computer integration." Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. 2020.

Olson, Judith S., and Wendy A. Kellogg, eds. Ways of Knowing in HCI.Vol. 2. New York: Springer, 2014. Rock, Irvin, and Jack Victor. "Vision and touch: An experimentally created conflict between the two senses." Science 143.3606 (1964): 594-596. Saponas, T. Scott, et al. "Enabling always-available input with muscle-computer interfaces." Proceedings of the 22nd annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology. 2009.

Schwarz, Julia. HoloLens 2 Demo. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uIHPPtPBgHk&t=1s Sutherland, Ivan. "The ultimate display." (1965).

Tao, Yujie, Shan-Yuan Teng, and Pedro Lopes. "Altering Perceived Softness of Real Rigid Objects by Restricting Fingerpad Deformation." The 34th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. 2021.





## References

Wellner, Pierre. "Interacting with paper on the DigitalDesk." Communications of the ACM 36.7 (1993): 87-96. Willis, Karl, et al. "Printed optics: 3D printing of embedded optical elements for interactive devices." Proceedings of the 25th annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology. 2012.

- software and technology. 2012.
- Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. 2018. and technology. 2017.

Wilson, Andrew, et al. "Steerable augmented reality with the beamatron." Proceedings of the 25th annual ACM symposium on User interface

Withana, Anusha, Daniel Groeger, and Jürgen Steimle. "Tacttoo: A thin and feel-through tattoo for on-skin tactile output." Proceedings of the 31st

Yamada, Wataru, et al. "Sphere: self-luminous spherical drone display." Proceedings of the 30th annual ACM symposium on user interface software



67